

On Sequences of Consecutive Semi-Primes

But not even... How odd!

Vanessa Madu

MathsJam 2025

Plan

1. Introductions

2. The Hunt for $N_{\max}(d)$

3. A Nice Result... And New Rabbit Hole.

What is a Semi-Prime?

Definition: Semi-Prime

A positive integer with **exactly two** prime factors. (The factors are not necessarily distinct).

What is a Semi-Prime?

Definition: Semi-Prime

A positive integer with **exactly two** prime factors. (The factors are not necessarily distinct).

Let's look at some (non) examples!

Examples

- $6 = 2 \times 3 \rightarrow$ **Semi-Prime**

What is a Semi-Prime?

Definition: Semi-Prime

A positive integer with **exactly two** prime factors. (The factors are not necessarily distinct).

Let's look at some (non) examples!

Examples

- $6 = 2 \times 3 \rightarrow$ Semi-Prime
- $17 \rightarrow$ Not Semi-Prime (Prime!)

What is a Semi-Prime?

Definition: Semi-Prime

A positive integer with **exactly two** prime factors. (The factors are not necessarily distinct).

Let's look at some (non) examples!

Examples

- $6 = 2 \times 3 \rightarrow$ **Semi-Prime**
- $17 \rightarrow$ **Not Semi-Prime (Prime!)**
- $8 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \rightarrow$ **Not Semi-Prime (Three Factors!)**

What is a Semi-Prime?

Definition: Semi-Prime

A positive integer with **exactly two** prime factors. (The factors are not necessarily distinct).

Let's look at some (non) examples!

Examples

- $6 = 2 \times 3 \rightarrow$ Semi-Prime
- $17 \rightarrow$ Not Semi-Prime (Prime!)
- $8 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \rightarrow$ Not Semi-Prime (Three Factors!)
- $4 = 2 \times 2 \rightarrow$ Semi-Prime

The Warm-Up: $d = 1$ (Consecutive Numbers)

Question 1

What's the longest chain of consecutive semi-primes? $(a, a + 1, a + 2, \dots)$

Let's look at some examples:

Examples

- $N = 1$: e.g., (4)

The Warm-Up: $d = 1$ (Consecutive Numbers)

Question 1

What's the longest chain of consecutive semi-primes? $(a, a + 1, a + 2, \dots)$

Let's look at some examples:

Examples

- $N = 1$: e.g., (4)
- $N = 2$: (9, 10)

The Warm-Up: $d = 1$ (Consecutive Numbers)

Question 1

What's the longest chain of consecutive semi-primes? $(a, a + 1, a + 2, \dots)$

Let's look at some examples:

Examples

- $N = 1$: e.g., (4)
- $N = 2$: (9, 10)
- $N = 3$: (33, 34, 35)

The Warm-Up: $d = 1$ (Consecutive Numbers)

Question 1

What's the longest chain of consecutive semi-primes? $(a, a + 1, a + 2, \dots)$

Let's look at some examples:

Examples

- $N = 1$: e.g., (4)
- $N = 2$: (9, 10)
- $N = 3$: (33, 34, 35)
- $N = 4$: **No!**... Why not?

The Warm-Up: $d = 1$ (Consecutive Numbers)

The "Multiple of 4" Argument

- Any 4 consecutive integers must contain one multiple of 4.
- Let this number be $4m = 2 \times 2 \times m$.
- If $m = 1$, the number is 4, (which IS semi-prime!), but looking around 4: (1, 2, 3, **4**, 5, 6, 7...). Not all of others are semi-prime. So the chain is $N = 1$.
- If $m > 1$, $4m$ has at least *three* prime factors (2, 2, and factors of m).
- So $4m$ (for $m > 1$) is **never** semi-prime!

The Warm-Up: $d = 1$ (Consecutive Numbers)

The "Multiple of 4" Argument

- Any 4 consecutive integers must contain one multiple of 4.
- Let this number be $4m = 2 \times 2 \times m$.
- If $m = 1$, the number is 4, (which IS semi-prime!), but looking around 4: (1, 2, 3, **4**, 5, 6, 7...). Not all of others are semi-prime. So the chain is $N = 1$.
- If $m > 1$, $4m$ has at least *three* prime factors (2, 2, and factors of m).
- So $4m$ (for $m > 1$) is **never** semi-prime!

Result

The longest chain is $N = 3$. So, $N_{\max}(1) = 3$.

The Main Event: $d = 2$ (Odd Numbers)

Question 2

What's the longest chain of consecutive **odd** semi-primes? $(a, a + 2, a + 4, \dots)$

The Main Event: $d = 2$ (Odd Numbers)

Question 2

What's the longest chain of consecutive **odd** semi-primes? $(a, a + 2, a + 4, \dots)$

- This avoids our "multiple of 4" trick!
- So... does $N_{\max}(2)$ exist? Or does the chain go on forever?

The Main Event: $d = 2$ (Odd Numbers)

Question 2

What's the longest chain of consecutive **odd** semi-primes? $(a, a + 2, a + 4, \dots)$

- This avoids our "multiple of 4" trick!
- So... does $N_{\max}(2)$ exist? Or does the chain go on forever?

Let's check:

Examples

- $N = 2$: (33, 35)

The Main Event: $d = 2$ (Odd Numbers)

Question 2

What's the longest chain of consecutive **odd** semi-primes? $(a, a + 2, a + 4, \dots)$

- This avoids our "multiple of 4" trick!
- So... does $N_{\max}(2)$ exist? Or does the chain go on forever?

Let's check:

Examples

- $N = 2$: (33, 35)
- $N = 3$: (91, 93, 95)

The Main Event: $d = 2$ (Odd Numbers)

Question 2

What's the longest chain of consecutive **odd** semi-primes? $(a, a + 2, a + 4, \dots)$

- This avoids our "multiple of 4" trick!
- So... does $N_{\max}(2)$ exist? Or does the chain go on forever?

Let's check:

Examples

- $N = 2$: (33, 35)
- $N = 3$: (91, 93, 95)

(This was the point where I refused to do this by hand.)

The Main Event: $d = 2$ (Odd Numbers)

Question 2

What's the longest chain of consecutive **odd** semi-primes? $(a, a + 2, a + 4, \dots)$

- This avoids our "multiple of 4" trick!
- So... does $N_{\max}(2)$ exist? Or does the chain go on forever?

Let's check:

Examples

- $N = 2$: (33, 35)
- $N = 3$: (91, 93, 95)
(This was the point where I refused to do this by hand.)
- $N = 4$: Yes! (299, 301, 303, 305)

Not All Herrings Are Red...

I was very tempted to make the claim:

Not All Herrings Are Red...

I was very tempted to make the claim:

Conjecture

As N (the length) increases, the size of a (the first term) also increases.

Not All Herrings Are Red... But This One Is

I was very tempted to make the claim:

False Conjecture

As N (the length) increases, the size of a (the first term) also increases.

Not All Herrings Are Red... But This One Is

I was very tempted to make the claim:

False Conjecture

As N (the length) increases, the size of a (the first term) also increases.

Nope. Here's a counterexample.

- $N = 4$: **299**, 301, 303, 305
- $N = 5$: **213**, 215, 217, 219, 221

Keep Looking...

At this stage, I was suspicious, but kept going:

Examples

- $N = 5$: (213, 215, 217, 219, 221)

Keep Looking...

At this stage, I was suspicious, but kept going:

Examples

- $N = 5$: (213, 215, 217, 219, 221)
- $N = 6$: (1383, 1385, 1387, 1389, 1391, 1393)

Keep Looking...

At this stage, I was suspicious, but kept going:

Examples

- $N = 5$: (213, 215, 217, 219, 221)
- $N = 6$: (1383, 1385, 1387, 1389, 1391, 1393)
- $N = 7$: (3091, 3093, 3095, 3097, 3099, 3101, 3103)

Keep Looking...

At this stage, I was suspicious, but kept going:

Examples

- $N = 5$: (213, 215, 217, 219, 221)
- $N = 6$: (1383, 1385, 1387, 1389, 1391, 1393)
- $N = 7$: (3091, 3093, 3095, 3097, 3099, 3101, 3103)
- $N = 8$: (8129, 8131, 8133, 8135, 8137, 8139, 8141, 8143)

Keep Looking...

At this stage, I was suspicious, but kept going:

Examples

- $N = 5$: (213, 215, 217, 219, 221)
- $N = 6$: (1383, 1385, 1387, 1389, 1391, 1393)
- $N = 7$: (3091, 3093, 3095, 3097, 3099, 3101, 3103)
- $N = 8$: (8129, 8131, 8133, 8135, 8137, 8139, 8141, 8143)

Can we go any higher? Can we find $N = 9$?

Keep Looking...

At this stage, I was suspicious, but kept going:

Examples

- $N = 5$: (213, 215, 217, 219, 221)
- $N = 6$: (1383, 1385, 1387, 1389, 1391, 1393)
- $N = 7$: (3091, 3093, 3095, 3097, 3099, 3101, 3103)
- $N = 8$: (8129, 8131, 8133, 8135, 8137, 8139, 8141, 8143)

Can we go any higher? Can we find $N = 9$?

No!

Keep Looking...

At this stage, I was suspicious, but kept going:

Examples

- $N = 5$: (213, 215, 217, 219, 221)
- $N = 6$: (1383, 1385, 1387, 1389, 1391, 1393)
- $N = 7$: (3091, 3093, 3095, 3097, 3099, 3101, 3103)
- $N = 8$: (8129, 8131, 8133, 8135, 8137, 8139, 8141, 8143)

Can we go any higher? Can we find $N = 9$?

No!

The spanner in the works is the next square number... $3 \times 3 = 9$.

The “Multiple of 9” Argument

- Consider any 9 consecutive odd numbers.
- $(a, a + 2, a + 4, a + 6, a + 8, a + 10, a + 12, a + 14, a + 16)$

The “Multiple of 9” Argument

- Consider any 9 consecutive odd numbers.
- $(a, a + 2, a + 4, a + 6, a + 8, a + 10, a + 12, a + 14, a + 16)$
- Look at them $(\text{mod } 9)$:
- $\{a, a + 2, a + 4, a + 6, a + 8, a + 1, a + 3, a + 5, a + 7\} \pmod{9}$

The “Multiple of 9” Argument

- Consider any 9 consecutive odd numbers.
- $(a, a + 2, a + 4, a + 6, a + 8, a + 10, a + 12, a + 14, a + 16)$
- Look at them $(\text{mod } 9)$:
- $\{a, a + 2, a + 4, a + 6, a + 8, a + 1, a + 3, a + 5, a + 7\} \pmod{9}$
- This is a **complete set of residues** $\{0, 1, \dots, 8\}$!
- So: *One of them must be a multiple of 9.*

The “Multiple of 9” Argument

The issue of $m = 1$

- Let this number be $9m = 3 \times 3 \times m$.
- When is this a semi-prime?

The “Multiple of 9” Argument

The issue of $m = 1$

- Let this number be $9m = 3 \times 3 \times m$.
- When is this a semi-prime?
- **Only when** $m = 1$! The number is $9 = 3 \times 3$.
- Any other multiple of 9 (e.g., 27, 45, 63...) has ≥ 3 factors.

The “Multiple of 9” Argument

The issue of $m = 1$

- Let this number be $9m = 3 \times 3 \times m$.
- When is this a semi-prime?
- **Only when** $m = 1$! The number is $9 = 3 \times 3$.
- Any other multiple of 9 (e.g., 27, 45, 63...) has ≥ 3 factors.

The (non-) issue of $m = 1$

- So, any sequence of 9 *must contain the number 9 itself*.
- Let's check the sequence around 9:
- (... , 3, 5, 7, **9**, 11, 13, 15, ...)
- 5, 7, 11, 13 are all PRIME. Which means they aren't semi-prime!

The Result

We're done!

- A chain of 9 (or more) consecutive odd semi-primes cannot exist.
- We found a chain of 8.
- Therefore, the maximum length is 8.

$$N_{\max}(2) = 8$$

A Spicy Conjecture

- We know $N_{\max}(1) = 3$.

A Spicy Conjecture

- We know $N_{\max}(1) = 3$.
- We know $N_{\max}(2) = 8$.

A Spicy Conjecture

- We know $N_{\max}(1) = 3$.
- We know $N_{\max}(2) = 8$.

This leads to...

Conjecture

The longest possible arithmetic sequence of semi-primes with common difference d has length:

$$N_{\max}(d) = d(d + 2)$$

A Spicy Conjecture

- We know $N_{\max}(1) = 3$.
- We know $N_{\max}(2) = 8$.

This leads to...

Conjecture

The longest possible arithmetic sequence of semi-primes with common difference d has length:

$$N_{\max}(d) = d(d + 2)$$

Is this true? **I have no idea!** But I want to find out!

Thanks!

Vanessa Madu
vanessa@vanessamadu.com